

Minutes of Meeting

PROJECT Minutes of Meeting of Community Liaison Community Group Huia Water

Treatment Plant Replacement held at 50 Woodlands Park Road, Waima

DATE 05 July 2017

PRESENT P Walbran (Chair), R Murphy, R. Woolf, S Kitson, C Hamiaux, B Harvey, M

Lythe, M Barton, P Maddison, G Davidson, M Harvey, M Allen, S Freeman,

Anna Fomison, S Jones

STAFF IN

S Cunis, M Bourne, P Jones

ATTENDANCE

APOLOGIES J Edgar, K Russell

1.	Welcome	ACTION
Shayne welcomed everyone to the Huia WTP and outlined the safety procedures		
2.	Appointment of Chairperson	
MarkB nominated Paul Walbran be the chair. He outlined Paul's association with Watercare and his impartiality.		
There	was a general consensus that PaulW should be the chairperson.	
	V assumed the role.	
3.	Additional Matters	
	V asked if there were other matters than those listed on the agenda forwarded to roup prior to the meeting by PaulJ.	
input a	natters raised were: the need for an ecological survey, mitigation measures, the and membership of the group by mana whenua, group to be used as a forum for pers of the general public to raise issues.	
4.	Membership	
PaulW	V raised the issue as who should by members of the CLG.	
	n requested information that group members could network with members of the nunity and bring their questions to the group through the members.	
	V outlined that it was an open process; people could access the CLG through the pers of the CLG – support getting matters onto the table.	
CLG.	requested that Save Oratia be invited to join and this was supported by the Also that the minutes of the meeting be circulated to everyone and for them to on the minutes	
	3 questioned whether the group considered that it was appropriate for nunity board members attend the meetings (as observers).	

Group agreed that this was appropriate.

MarkH asked if stand ins could take the place of those that couldn't attend the meeting – this was agreed by the group.

PaulW questioned the best time for the meeting to end – general consensus that 9.00pm was late enough.

PaulJ handed out a draft copy of the terms of reference.

MarkB outlined that it was a draft

MarkH pointed out grammatical errors

Simon asked if possible to take away and read. Also outlined other issues that need to be considered – beyond the WTP and Woodlands Park Road reservoirs there is also the question of the pipeline and the proposed reservoir at the end of Exhibition Drive.

MarkH asked what level of input did they have the terms of reference

MarkB outlined that the Watercare Board had resolved that the replacement plant be constructed and operated on the Manuka Road site therefore the purpose of the group was to identify matters and how the community could benefit from the development of the site and elements within the immediate area.

BruceH asked if it included the Huia plant.

PeterM asked if Exhibition Drive was also included. Also questioned the impact of the construction traffic on the roadways.

MarkB said yes to all queries.

PaulW suggested that construction be included in the objectives.

RobertW questioned reporting section -

MarkB assured that only needed to report back what the group wanted to share

PaulW –email draft to members and for them to email back to PaulJ Key points where objectives, group-community interface

PaulM raised the number of meetings

PaulW referred to page 2 of the draft terms.

PaulJ distributed a hand-out that set out the history of the Huia WTP replacement project.

MarkB spoke to the hand-out and outlined the resolution of the Watercare's Board with regards to the project.

5. Next Steps

MarkB outlined that the next steps involved the carrying out of a comprehensive

ecological surveys, these would allow the plant to be designed.

Mels raised the issue of the perception that as the ecologists were engaged by Watercare there would be a question over the results of the survey. To negate this possibility suggested that Watercare pay for an independent ecologist to undertake the work on behalf of the group.

MarkB suggested that alternative may be for the survey's to be peer reviewed and reviewer could accompany surveyor when mapping the sites.

PeterM requested that the brief be forwarded to the group for their review prior to engaging the ecologist.

General discussion on the need to be transparent and comply with the rules of expert – need to be complete.

MarkB outlined that shape of reservoirs may be determined by location of the trees

Simon raised issue regarding the sight lines offered by the entrances to the site – speed of vehicles, etc

PeterM questioned the commitment to the approved footprint

PaulW restoration of existing plant discussion point

Cyril raised the question of buffers –why no buffers for this plant when properties at Parker Road where identified/required as buffers – place on agenda

RobMurphy – buffer zone, impact on the adjoining properties,

Simon – effect on Clarks Bush Track – next agenda

Cyril – chlorine situation

PeterM - Colour scheme to agenda

MarkH wants experts to peer review what the experts have to say.

PaulJ handed out three maps of Watercare's water supply network.

MarkB spoke to three maps that showed the water network from the wider Auckland regional to the immediate area. He explained that the system was an integrated network so Watercare was able to direct water around Auckland and that it generally relied on gravity. Outlined how water flowed from Ardmore to Forest Hill (North Shore) under gravity.

Cyril asked if the current plant used pumps to pump water around/to/from the plant.

Shayne outlined the need to balance production when drawing of the water from the four dams – two may be spilling while the water is only sourced from the other two (as an example).

He also explained that most of the water was used in west Auckland, there was no potential to increase the number of dams or their areas in the Waitakere's, the quality of the water from the dams was changing as the forest matured (required more complex process), impossible to taste the difference between the various water sources in Auckland –everyone enjoys AA grade water.

He explained that the higher areas in the Waitakere's were served by the Montana Reservoir.

PeterM raised the question regarding the future of Exhibition Drive.

MarkB explained that Watercare sought to give the land to the Auckland Council as Watercare does not required the land and is not in the parks business. He outlined that as Watercare was not able to pay a dividend to the Council, any proposal to give the land to Auckland would breach this provision, therefore seeking to swap some land that Watercare requires with Exhibition Drive. These discussions are ongoing.

Mels raised the issue with regards to bins/doggy bag bins, etc.

Shayne outlined that not a park service.

MarkH said that the weeds on Watercare land had to be removed.

Simon raised the issue with regards to the second reservoir on the car park adjacent to the existing Nihotupu Reservoir.

MarkB said that this was a matter that needed to be discussed with the wider community and part of the overall package. There was the opportunity to "tidy everything", including linking the walkways/footpaths.

PeterM raised the issue of kauri dieback which was echoed by others.

PaulW raised outlined his recollection of the issues/actions/future agenda items that were required, and listed them as follows:

Actions Paul J

- Ecological survey forward brief from Watercare to the ecologists to CLG
- Potential mitigation measures vegetation , restoration of existing site,
- Effects on adjacent properties
- Chlorine
- Weeds
- Clarks Bush Track/Reserve
- Exhibition Drive
- What happens to retired infrastructure repurpose
- Management of construction effects
- Kauri dieback
- Effect of earthworks
- Consideration of effects on the safety and efficiency of the roading network (Woodlands Park Road – entrance/exit to the plant)
- Archaeological survey
- Participation of mana whenua
- How Watercare can mitigate the above identified effects

Meeting closed at 21.00.

Certified as true and correct record

Paul Walbran Date